

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,398	04/18/2001	Tami Jo Tadrowski	60037.1465US01 7676	
23552 7	7590 09/26/2003			15
MERCHANT & GOULD PC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 290 MINNEAPOL	3 IS, MN 55402-0903		OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	_	•	g,
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
		09/837,398	TADROWSKI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Necholus Ogden	1751	
eriod fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	•
THE M - Extendafter S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the material displayment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONThatute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communica  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 June 2003 .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for all			s is
ispositi	closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	der <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
<b>4</b> )⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,10,12-16,23-34,39 and</u>	<u>43-54</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>12 and 44</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9-10,13-16,23-34,39,<i>and</i> 4</u>	13, 45-54 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an on Papers	d/or election requirement.	,	
9) 🗌 🗆	he specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) 🔲 🏾	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 🏾	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) 🗌 🏾	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	ents have been received.		
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li></ol>	ents have been received in Ap	olication No	
	3.  Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	ation
	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
, — ttachment	•	. ,		
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>.</u> .
Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re	demark Office ev. 04-01) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper N	o. 15

Application/Control Number: 09/837,398

Art Unit: 1751

### Response to Amendment

1. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 6-30-03 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 12 based upon new matter under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-10, 13-16, 23-34, 39, 43, 45-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahmed (5,981,457).
- 2. Ahmed discloses a liquid gel ware wash detergent composition comprising 5 to about 30% by weight of an alkali metal detergent builder salt such as sodium tripolyphosphate; 8 to about 50% by weight of a source of alkalinity; 0.1 to 2% by weight of a polymeric thickener; 01 to 2% by weight of a fatty acid bonding agent; and the balance water (col. 2, lines 34-63). Ahmed further teaches that said detergent component might comprise anionic surfactants in an amount from 0 to 5% by weight (col. 3, lines 51-65). Note, see table 5.

Ahmed is silent with respect to the pH of the composition. However, Ahmed teach all of the instantly required components in their requisite proportions, wherein one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the composition to comprise a pH of 10 to 14 because of the amount of alkalinity and because the composition are for caustic applications.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that said compositions of Ahmed are not sprayable. The examiner respectfully disagrees and contends that applicant has not provided any evidence to support this allegation. The compositions of Ahmed require the same components for the same use and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use said composition for spraying in the absence of a showing to the contrary. The arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. In re Schulze, 346 F.2d 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965); In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("An assertion of what seems to follow from common experience is just attorney argument and not the kind of factual evidence that is required to rebut a prima facie case of obviousness."). See MPEP § 716.01(c)

#### Allowable Subject Matter

for examples of attorney statements which are not evidence and which must be

Claims 12 and 44 are allowed.

supported by an appropriate affidavit or declaration.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1751

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 703-308-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Mecholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751 Page 4

No September 22, 2003